	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Interview Summary	09/519,665	HINRICHS, STEVE H
	Examiner	Art Unit
	MINH-TAM DAVIS	1642
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):	
(1) MINH-TAM DAVIS.	(3)	
(2) <u>PATRICK HAGAN</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 21 August 2003.		·
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)⊠ applicant's representative]		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e) No.	
Claim(s) discussed:		
Identification of prior art discussed:		
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.		
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: <u>Applicant asserts that the recited on page 13 of the specification are also considered required. Applicant elects SEQ ID NO:1.</u>	e consensus sequences deriv	ed from the linker domains as
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)		
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OF FORM, WICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT Commany of Record of Interview requirements on reverse sections.	e last Office action has already R THE MAILING DATE OF THI DF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE	been filed, APPLICANT IS SINTERVIEW SUMMARY
		•

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413 (Rev. 04-03)

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required